

#### Environmental Product Declaration

Sloan Valve Company | Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucets





Declaration Owner Sloan Valve Company 10500 Seymour Avenue Franklin Park, IL 60131 customer.service@sloan.com | 800.982.5839 https://www.sloan.com

### Product

Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucets

#### **Functional Unit**

1 packaged, installed unit with a Reference Service Life of 10 years in a building with an Estimated Service Life of 75 years

#### **EPD Number and Period of Validity**

SCS-EPD-09755 EPD Valid January 10, 2024 through January 9, 2029

#### **Product Category Rule**

UL. PCR Guidance for Building-Related Products and Services
Part A: Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules and Report
Requirements. Version 3.2. December 2018.
UL PCR Guidance for Building-Related Products and Services
Part B: Kitchen and Bath Fixture Fittings and Accessory Products
EPD Requirements. Version 1.0. October 2020.

### **Program Operator**

SCS Global Services 2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 +1.510.452.8000 | www.SCSglobalServices.com



Declaration owner:	Sloan Valve Company				
Address:	10500 Seymour Avenue, Franklin Park, IL 60131				
Declaration Number:	SCS-EPD-09755				
Declaration Validity Period:	EPD Valid January 10, 2024 through January 9.2029				
Program Operator:	SCS Global Services				
Declaration URL Link:	https://www.scsglobalservices.com/certified-green-products-guide				
LCA Practitioner:	Beth Cassese, SCS Global Services				
LCA Software and LCI database:	OpenLCA 2.0.3 software and the Ecoinvent v3.9.1 database				
Product's Intended Application:	Fitting designed to discharge a specific volume of water into a lavatory.				
Product RSL:	10 Years (ESL 75 Years)				
Markets of Applicability:	North America				
EPD Type:	Product-Specific				
EPD Scope:	Cradle-to-Grave				
LCIA Method and Version:	CML-IA Baseline and TRACI 2.1				
Independent critical review of the LCA and	□ internal ⊠external				
data, according to ISO 14044 and ISO 14071					
LCA Reviewer:	Thomas Gloria, PhD., Industrial Ecology Consultants				
Product Category Rule:	UL PCR Guidance for Building-Related Products and Services Part B: Kitchen and Bath Fixture Fittings and Accessory Products EPD Requirements. Version 1.0. October 2020.				
PCR Review conducted by:	Jim Mellentine, Angela Fisher, Christopher Marozzi				
Independent verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025 and the PCR	□ internal ⊠ external				
EPD Verifier:	Thomas Gloria, PhD., Industrial Ecology Consultants				
	1. ABOUT Sloan				
	2. PRODUCT				
	3. LCA: METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK				
	4. LCA: TECHNICAL INFORMATION AND SCENARIOS0				
Declaration Contents:	5. LCA: Results				
	6. LCA: INTERPRETATION				
	7. ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION9				
	8. REFERENCES				

Disclaimers: This EPD conforms to ISO 14025, 14040, 14044, and ISO 21930.

**Scope of Results Reported:** The PCR requirements limit the scope of the LCA metrics such that the results exclude environmental and social performance benchmarks and thresholds, and exclude impacts from the depletion of natural resources, land use ecological impacts, ocean impacts related to greenhouse gas emissions, risks from hazardous wastes and impacts linked to hazardous chemical emissions.

Accuracy of Results: Due to PCR constraints, this EPD provides estimations of potential impacts that are inherently limited in terms of accuracy.

**Comparability:** The PCR this EPD was based on was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner's assumptions, the source of the data used in the study, and the specifics of the product modeled.

In accordance with ISO 21930:2017, EPDs are comparable only if they comply with the core PCR, use the same sub-category PCR where applicable, include all relevant information modules and are based on equivalent scenarios with respect to the context of construction works.

# 1. ABOUT Sloan

Sloan is the world's leading manufacturer of commercial plumbing systems and has been in operation since 1906. Headquartered in Franklin Park, Illinois, USA, the company is at the forefront of the green building movement and provides smart, sustainable restroom solutions by manufacturing water-efficient products such as flushometers, electronic faucets, sink systems, soap dispensing systems, and vitreous china fixtures for commercial, industrial, and institutional markets worldwide.

The Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF sensor faucets are manufactured at the facility in Rebstein, Switzerland.

# 2. PRODUCT

## 2.1 Product Description

Sloan faucet products belong to the Commercial Plumbing Fixtures specification code, CSI code 22 42 39 and the UNSPSC code 30181700.

A faucet is a fitting designed for discharge of a specific volume of water into a lavatory that is turned on mechanically or electronically, and intended to be installed in non-residential bathrooms that are exposed to walk-in traffic. The volume or cycle duration can be fixed or adjustable. Lavatory faucets are used primarily for hand washing or simple rinsing. Optima<sup>®</sup> faucets feature a cast brass spout, quick connect fittings, infrared sensors and integrated water shut-off. The product systems under study include the following products.



Model	Flow Rates	Power Source
EAF 150	0.35 gpm/1.3 Lpm 0.5 gpm/1.9 Lpm 1.0 gpm/3.8 Lpm 1.5 gpm/5.7 Lpm	Battery
EAF 200	0.35 gpm/1.3 Lpm 0.5 gpm/1.9 Lpm 1.0 gpm/3.8 Lpm	Hardwired
EAF 250	0.35 gpm/1.3 Lpm 0.5 gpm/1.9 Lpm 1.0 gpm/3.8 Lpm 2.2 gpm/8.3 Lpm	Battery
EAF 275	0.35 gpm/1.3 Lpm 0.5 gpm/1.9 Lpm 1.0 gpm/3.8 Lpm	Solar
EAF 350	0.35 gpm/1.3 Lpm 0.5 gpm/1.9 Lpm 1.5 gpm/5.7 Lpm 2.2 gpm/8.3 Lpm	Battery
EAF 750	0.35 gpm/1.3 Lpm 1.0 gpm/3.8 Lpm 1.5 gpm/5.7 Lpm	Battery

 Table 1. Sloan Optima EAF Sensor Faucet models represented in this EPD.

\*gpm=gallons per minute | Lpm=Liters per minute

#### 2.2 Application

Sloan sensor faucets are designed for use with lavatories as the dispensing unit for the water supplied. The faucets are primarily installed in commercial, industrial, and institutional markets worldwide.

#### 2.3 Representative Product

All of the Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF sensor faucet product lines share similar raw material component breakdown, mass, and the same manufacturing process, with the main differences being the internal configurations and slight shape changes to the exterior design. An average product was calculated as the representative product for the sensor faucet in this study. The EAF 150 model was reported separately from the group average, as sensitivity analysis showed the results to be outside an acceptable range from the average results.

2.4 Flow Diagram




Figure 1. Flow diagram for the Sloan Optima EAF Sensor Faucets.

## 2.5 Material Composition

Material	Mass (kg)	Percentage of Total Mass	Pre-Consumer Recycled Content	Post-Consumer Recycled Content
Brass	0.694	56.4%	20%	0%
Zinc	0.189	15.3%	0%	0%
Stainless Steel	0.109	8.8%	15%	0%
Nylon	0.067	5.5%	0%	0%
Copper	0.050	4.1%	0%	0%
EPDM	0.022	1.8%	0%	0%
Sensor	0.022	1.8%	0%	0%
Silicone	0.018	1.5%	0%	0%
Battery	0.018	1.5%	0%	0%
Cable	0.016	1.3%	0%	0%
Rubber	0.013	1.1%	0%	0%
Plastic	0.012	1.0%	0%	0%
Magnet	0.0003	0.03%	0%	0%
Total	1.23	100%	-	-

Table 2. Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucet material components.

### 2.5 Technical Requirements

Table 3. Sloan Optima® EAF Sensor Faucet technical requirements.

Property	Test Method	Unit	Value
Flow rate		Gallons per minute Liters per minute	035, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.2 1.3, 1.9, 3.8, 5.7, 8.3

# 3. LCA: METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

#### 3.1 Functional Unit

The functional unit used in the study is one (1) packaged, installed unit with a reference service life (RSL) of 10 years. The building estimated service life (ESL) is assumed to be 75-years in order to be consistent with ASHRAE 189.1 (2014, Section 9.5.1).

Table 4. Sloan Optima® EAF Sensor Faucet Functional Unit Properties.

Property	Unit	Value
Functional Unit	One (1) packaged,	installed product
RSL	Years	10
ESL	Years	75
Mass	kg	1.23

## 3.2 System Boundary

The scope of the EPD is cradle-to-grave, including raw material extraction and processing; raw material transportation; product manufacture, including packaging; product distribution; installation; use; and end-of-life.

Product		Construction Process			Use				End-o	of-life		Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary				
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	В4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	С3	C4	D
Raw material extraction and processing	Transport to manufacturer	Manufacturing	Transport	Construction - installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	Deconstruction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse, recovery and/or recycling potential
х	х	x	х	х	x	x	х	x	х	x	х	x	x	х	х	MND

 Table 5. Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucet System Boundaries.

X = Included in system boundary

MND = Module not declared

### 3.3 Allocation

Manufacturing resource use was allocated to the products based on mass. Impacts from transportation were allocated based on the mass of material and distance transported.

## 3.3 Cut-off criteria

According to the PCR, processes contributing greater than 1% of the total environmental impact indicator for each impact are included in the inventory. No data gaps were allowed which were expected to significantly affect the outcome of the indicator results.

#### 3.4 Data Sources

Primary data were provided by the facility in Rebstein, Switzerland. The principal source of secondary LCI data is the Ecoinvent 3.9.1 database.

Component	Dataset	Geography	Data Source	Date
Product				
ABS	market for acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene copolymer   acrylonitrile-butadiene- styrene copolymer   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for injection moulding   injection moulding   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Acetal	market for polypropylene, granulate   polypropylene, granulate   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for injection moulding   injection moulding   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Battery	market for battery cell, Li-ion, LiMn2O4   battery cell, Li-ion, LiMn2O4   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Cable	market for cable, unspecified   cable, unspecified   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Brass	market for brass   brass   Cutoff, U	RoW <sup>†</sup>	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for casting, brass   casting, brass   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022

Table 6. LCI datasets and associated databases used to model the Sloan Sensor Faucet products.

Component	Dataset	Geography	Data Source	Date
Copper	market for copper, cathode   copper, cathode   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for metal working, average for copper product manufacturing   metal working, average for copper product manufacturing   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
EPDM	market for synthetic rubber   synthetic rubber   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for injection moulding   injection moulding   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Magnot	market for permanent magnet, for electric motor   permanent magnet, for	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Magnet	electric motor   Cutoff, U	Giubai	ECONIVENC 5.9.1	2022
NBR	market for synthetic rubber   synthetic rubber   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for injection moulding   injection moulding   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Nylon	market for nylon 6-6   nylon 6-6   Cutoff, U	RoW	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for extrusion of plastic sheets and thermoforming, inline   extrusion of plastic sheets and thermoforming, inline   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Polypropylene	market for polypropylene, granulate   polypropylene, granulate   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
51 15	market for injection moulding   injection moulding   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Rubber	market for synthetic rubber   synthetic rubber   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for injection moulding   injection moulding   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
SAN	market for styrene-acrylonitrile copolymer   styrene-acrylonitrile copolymer   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for injection moulding   injection moulding   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Sensor	market for electronic component, active, unspecified   electronic component, ac unspecified   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Silicone	market for silicone product   silicone product   Cutoff, U	RoW	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Stainless Steel	market for steel, chromium steel 18/8, hot rolled   steel, chromium steel 18/8, ho rolled   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for metal working, average for chromium steel product manufacturing   metal working, average for chromium steel product manufacturing   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Zinc	market for zinc   zinc   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for metal working, average for metal product manufacturing   metal	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	working, average for metal product manufacturing   Cutoff, U			
Package				
Aluminium	market for aluminium, primary, ingot   aluminium, primary, ingot   Cutoff, U	RoW	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for section bar extrusion, aluminium   section bar extrusion, aluminium   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Cardboard	market for containerboard, unspecified   containerboard, unspecified   Cutoff, U	United States	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Carrier Foil	market for printed paper, offset   printed paper, offset   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Paper	market for kraft paper   kraft paper   Cutoff, U	RoW	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
PE Plastic	market for packaging film, low density polyethylene   packaging film, low density polyethylene   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Vinyl	market for polyvinylchloride, bulk polymerised   polyvinylchloride, bulk polymerised   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
	market for extrusion, plastic film   extrusion, plastic film   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Transport				
Ship	market for transport, freight, sea, container ship   transport, freight, sea, container ship   Cutoff, U	Global	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Train	market for transport, freight train   transport, freight train   Cutoff, U	RoW	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Truck	market for transport, freight, lorry 16-32 metric ton, EURO4   transport, freight, lorry 16-32 metric ton, EURO4   Cutoff, U	RoW	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Manufacture				
Electricity	market for electricity, medium voltage   electricity, medium voltage   Cutoff, U	Switzerland	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Natural Gas	heat and power co-generation, natural gas, 500kW electrical, lean burn   heat, district or industrial, natural gas   Cutoff, U	Switzerland	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Water	market for tap water   tap water   Cutoff, U	Switzerland	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Waste				
Hazardous Waste	market for hazardous waste, for incineration   hazardous waste, for incineration   Cutoff, U	Switzerland	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Landfill	market for inert waste, for final disposal   inert waste, for final disposal   Cutoff, U	Switzerland	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
Wastewater	market for wastewater, average   wastewater, average   Cutoff, U	Switzerland	Ecoinvent 3.9.1	2022
····	manaction musicification are indeed musicification and in the indeed and in the indeed and in the indeed and in the indeed and indee	Smillenana	200110010-0.0.1	2022

## 3.5 Data Quality

 Table 7. Data Quality Assessment.

Data Quality Parameter	Data Quality Discussion
Time-Related Coverage: Age of data and the minimum length of time over which data is collected	The manufacturer provided primary data on product manufacturing for the facility based on annual production for 2022. Representative datasets (secondary data) for upstream and background processes are generally less than 5 years old.
<b>Geographical Coverage:</b> Geographical area from which data for unit processes is collected to satisfy the goal of the study	The data used in the analysis provide the best possible representation available with current data. Electricity use for product manufacture is modeled using representative data modelled for the specific electricity grids represented in this study. Surrogate data used in the assessment are representative of global or European operations and are considered sufficiently similar to actual processes.
Technology Coverage: Specific technology or technology mix	For the most part, data are representative of the actual technologies used for processing, transportation, and manufacturing operations. Representative component datasets, specific to the type of material, are used to represent the actual processes, as appropriate.
<b>Precision:</b> Measure of the variability of the data values for each data expressed	Precision of results are not quantified due to a lack of data. Data collected for operations were typically averaged for one more years and over multiple operations, which is expected to reduce the variability of results.
<b>Completeness:</b> Percentage of flow that is measured or estimated	The LCA model included all known mass and energy flows for production of the products. In some instances, surrogate data used to represent upstream and downstream operations may be missing some data which is propagated in the model. No known processes or activities contributing to more than 1% of the total environmental impact for each indicator are excluded.
<b>Representativeness:</b> Qualitative assessment of the degree to which the data set reflects the true population of interest	Data used in the assessment represent typical or average processes as currently reported from multiple data sources and are therefore generally representative of the range of actual processes and technologies for production of these materials. Considerable deviation may exist among actual processes on a site-specific basis; however, such a determination would require detailed data collection throughout the supply chain back to resource extraction.
Consistency: Qualitative assessment of whether the study methodology is applied uniformly to the various components of the analysis	The consistency of the assessment is considered to be high. Data sources of similar quality and age are used; with a bias towards Ecoinvent v3.9.1 data where available. Different portions of the product life cycle are equally considered.
<b>Reproducibility:</b> Qualitative assessment of the extent to which information about the methodology and data values would allow an independent practitioner to reproduce the results reported in the study	Based on the description of the data and assumptions used, this assessment would be reproducible by other practitioners. All assumptions, models, and data sources are documented.
<b>Sources of the Data:</b> Description of all primary and secondary data sources	Data representing energy use at the manufacturing facilities represent a 12-month average and are considered of high quality due to the length of time over which these data are collected, as compared to a snapshot that may not accurately reflect fluctuations in production. For secondary LCI data, Ecoinvent v3.9.1 data are used.
<b>Uncertainty of the Information:</b> Uncertainty related to data, models, and assumptions	Uncertainty related to materials in the products and packaging is low. Actual supplier data for upstream operations was not available for all suppliers and the study relied upon the use of existing representative datasets. These datasets contained relatively recent data (<10 years) but lacked geographical representativeness. Uncertainty related to the impact assessment methods used in the study are high. The impact assessment methodology includes impact potentials, which lack characterization of providing and receiving environments or tipping points.

## 3.6 Period under review

The period of review is based on a 12-month period from January 2022 through December 2022.

#### 3.7 Comparability

The PCR this EPD was based on was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner's assumptions, the source of the data used in the study, and the specifics of the product modeled.

#### 3.8 Estimates and Assumptions

- Specific data were not available on acetal polymers in the product recipe. Secondary datasets for polypropylene were used from the Ecoinvent database to represent these polymers in the LCA model.
- Specific data were not available on EPDM and NBR in the product recipe. Secondary datasets for synthetic rubber were used from the Ecoinvent database to represent these materials in the LCA model.
- Product transport from point of purchase to the building site is assumed to be 500 km by truck as required by the Part B PCR.
- Product transport from the Sloan distribution centers in Los Angeles, CA and New York, NY to points of purchase was assumed to be 4500 km by truck, representing the assumed longest distance across the United States.
- Product transport from the manufacturing site to a sea port for ocean transport is assumed to be 430 km by truck.
- Ocean transport of the product is assumed to be 7506 km for products.
- Installation of the products is assumed to be manual, requiring no additional materials or energy use.
- Transport of the packaging waste at installation is assumed to be 100 km by truck as required by the Part B PCR.
- Transport of the product at end-of-life to waste processing and disposal is assumed to be 100 km by truck as required by the Part B PCR.
- The Reference Service Life (RSL) of the products was modeled as 10 years, as required by the Part B PCR.
- The Estimated Service Life (ESL) of the building/construction works was assumed to be 75 years, as required by the Part B PCR, in order to be consistent with ASHRAE 189.1 (2014, Section 9.5.1).
- The maintenance of the products is assumed to include daily cleaning with a cleaning solution of 10 ml of 1% sodium lauryl sulfate solution as specified in the Part B PCR.
- The repair of the products is assumed to include the replacement battery component parts, including an assumed 50 km by truck transport, 2 times over the RSL, according to manufacturer experts.
- The products are assumed to require no replacement during the 10-year RSL, but in accordance with the Part A PCR and Part B PCR, requires replacement 6.5 times over the 75-year ESL.
- The use phase module B5 (Refurbishment) is assumed to have no impacts, as there is no resource or energy use associated with this module.
- The use phase modules are modelled for the building/construction works ESL of 75 years.
- For the product end-of-life, disposal of product is assumed to follow the disposal scenario indicated in the Part A PCR.

# 4. LCA: TECHNICAL INFORMATION AND SCENARIOS

## 4.1 Manufacture

This module includes the manufacturing, assembly and packaging of the Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF sensor faucets at the manufacturing facility in Rebstein, Switzerland.

## 4.2 Packaging

Table 8. Sloan Optima® EAF Sensor Faucet packaging material components.

Packaging material	Mass (kg)	Percentage of Total Mass	Pre-Consumer Recycled Content	Post-Consumer Recycled Content
Aluminum	0.006	1.3%	0%	100%
Cardboard	0.374	89%	0%	100%
Paper	0.030	7.2%	0%	100%
Paper Foil	0.0001	0.03%	0%	0%
Polyethylene	0.008	1.8%	0%	50%
Vinyl	0.001	0.2%	0%	0%
Total	0.418	100%	-	-

## 4.3 Transportation

 Table 9. Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucet transportation summary.

Name	Unit	Value
Vehicle Type	-	Freight Truck
Liters of fuel	l/100 km	18.7
Fuel Type	-	Diesel
Transport Distance	km	5430
Factory to Port (assumed)	km	430
Port to Distribution (assumed)	km	4500
Point of purchase to installation (per PCR)	km	500
Capacity utilization	%	50
Vehicle Type	-	Ocean Freighter
Liters of fuel	l/100 km	0.41
Fuel Type	-	Heavy Fuel Oil
Transport Distance	km	7506
Port to Port (assumed)	km	7506
Capacity utilization	%	n/a
Gross mass of products transported <sup>1</sup>	kg	1.65

<sup>1</sup> including packaging

## 4.4 Installation

The installation of the sensor faucet products is completed using manual labor and does not require additional ancillary materials. Waste is generated from the disposal of the packaging materials and is modeled as required in the Part A PCR.

 Table 10. Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucet installation summary.

Name	Unit	Value
Ancillary materials	kg	0
Net freshwater consumption specified by water source and fate	m <sup>3</sup>	0
Other resources	kg	0
Electricity consumption	kwh	0
Other energy carriers	MJ	0
Product loss per functional unit	kg	0
Waste materials at the construction site before waste processing, generated by product installation	kg	0
Output materials resulting from on-site waste processing	kg	0
Mass of packaging waste specified by type	kg	0.414
Recycle	kg	0.308
Landfill	kg	0.085
Incineration	kg	0.021
Biogenic carbon contained in packaging	kg CO <sub>2</sub>	0.752
Direct emissions to ambient air, soil, and water	kg	0
VOC emissions	µg/m³	0

#### 4.5 Use

### Table 11. Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucet maintenance summary.

Maintenance	Unit	Value
Description of process	-	Daily cleaning with 10 ml 1% sodium lauryl sulfate solution
Maintenance cycle	Cycles/RSL	3650
Maintenance cycle	Cycles/ESL	27,375
Net freshwater consumption		
City water disposed to sewer	m <sup>3</sup>	0.036
Ancillary materials		
Sodium lauryl sulfate solution	kg	0.365
Other resources	kg	0
Energy input	kWh	0
Other energy carriers	kWh	0
Power output of equipment	kW	0
Waste materials from maintenance	kg	0
Direst emissions to ambient air, soil, and water	kg	0
Further assumptions for scenario development	-	-

## Table 12. Sloan Optima® EAF Sensor Faucet repair summary.

Repair	Unit	Value
Repair process information	-	Per manufacturer, batteries may require 2 replacements per RSL to repair product
Inspection process information	-	N/A
Repair cycle	Cycles/RSL	2
Repair cycle	Cycles/ESL	15
Net freshwater consumption	m <sup>3</sup>	0
Ancillary materials	kg	0.045
Batter	y kg	0.045
Energy input	kWh	0
Waste materials from repair	kg	0.045
Landf	ll kg	0.045
Direct emissions to ambient air, soil, and water	kg	0
Further assumption for scenario development	-	-

### Table 13. Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucet replacement summary.

Replacement	Unit	Value
Reference Service Life	Years	10
Replacement cycle (ESL/RSL)-1	-	6.5
Energy input	kWh	0
Net freshwater consumption	m <sup>3</sup>	0
Ancillary materials	kg	0
Replacement of materials	kg	1.24
Direct emissions to ambient air, soil, and water	kg	0
Further assumptions for scenario development	-	-

### **Table 14.** Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucet refurbishment summary.

Refurbishment	Unit	Value
Refurbishment process	-	N/A
Refurbishment cycle	Cycles/RSL	0
Refurbishment cycle	Cycles/ESL	0
Energy input	kWh	0
Net freshwater consumption	m <sup>3</sup>	0
Material input	kg	0
Waste materials	kg	0
Direct emissions to ambient air, soil, and water	kg	0
Further assumption for scenario development	-	-

Table 15. Sloan Ontima®	FAF Sensor Faucet operational	l energy and water use summary.

		Lavatory Faucet Products						
Operational Energy and Water Use	Unit	0.35 gpm (1.3 Lpm)	0.5 gpm (1.9 Lpm)	1.0 gpm (3.8 Lpm)	1.5 gpm (5.7 Lpm)	2.2 gpm (8.3 Lpm)		
Net freshwater consumption								
City water to sewer	m <sup>3</sup> /RSL	77.5	111	221	332	487		
Ancillary materials	kg	0	0	0	0	0		
Energy input	kWh	3,107	4,438	8.876	13,314	19,527		
Equipment power output	kW	0	0	0	0	0		
Characteristic performance	-	-		-				
Direct emissions to ambient air, soil, water	kg	0	0	0	0	0		
Further assumptions for scenario development (per PCR)	heating fo	is assumed 70 r hot water is a s (0.8784 mcf p	issumed 50% e	electricity (0.17	65 kwh per gal	lon), 50%		
Number of users per product	30							
Number of uses per user per day	3							
Number of use days per year	260							

### 4.6 End-of-Life

 Table 16. Sloan Optima® EAF Sensor Faucet end-of-life summary.

End-of-life		Unit	Value
Assumptions fo	Assumptions for scenario development		Manual deconstruction, followed by 100 km truck transport to final disposal in landfill
Collection	Collected separately	kg	0
process	Collected with mixed construction waste	kg	1.23
	Reuse	kg	0
	Recycling	kg	0
Decovery	Landfill	kg	1.23
Recovery	Incineration	kg	0
	Incineration with energy recovery	kg	0
	Energy conversion	-	-
Disposal	Product of material for final deposition	kg	1.23
Removals of bio	ogenic carbon (excluding packaging)	kg CO <sub>2</sub>	0

# 5. LCA: Results

Results of the Life Cycle Assessment are presented below. It is noted that LCA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks. All LCA results are stated to three significant figures in agreement with the PCR for this product and therefore the sum of the total values may not exactly equal 100%. Modules with zero (0) impacts: B1, B5, C1, and C3 are omitted from the results tables. Module B6 and B7, Operational Energy Use and Operational Water Use, are reported separately for the various flow rate applications available.

The following environmental impact category indicators are reported using characterization factors using the CML-IA impact assessment method and the TRACI 2.1 impact assessment method. Note that for global warming calculations, the CML characterization factors are based on IPCC 2013, while TRACI 2.1 global warming calculations are based on IPCC 2007. Note also that neither characterization method includes biogenic carbon uptake or biomass CO2 emissions. Based on the component materials of the product and production processes, there are no impacts associated with land-use changes, nor are environmental impacts associated with carbonation relevant for the product system.

#### Table 17. Mandatory Environmental Impact Assessment Categories.

CMLI-A Impact Category	Unit	TRACI 2.1 Impact Category	Unit
GWP: Global Warming Potential	kg CO2 eq.	GWP: Global Warming Potential	kg CO2 eq.
<b>ODP:</b> Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer	kg CFC 11 eq.	<b>ODP:</b> Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer	kg CFC 11 eq.
AP: Acidification Potential of soil and water	kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq.	AP: Acidification Potential of soil and water	kg SO2 eq.
EP: Eutrophication Potential	kg PO4 <sup>3-</sup> eq.	EP: Eutrophication Potential	kg N eq.
POCP: Photochemical Oxidant Creation Potential	kg C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> eq.	SFP: Smog Formation Potential	kg O₃eq.
ADPE: Abiotic Depletion Potential, elements	kg Sb eq	FFD: Fossil Fuel Depletion	MJ Surplus
ADPF: biotic Depletion Potential, fossil fuels	MJ		

These impact categories are globally deemed mature enough to be included in Type III environmental declarations. Other categories are being developed and defined and LCA should continue making advances in their development. However, the EPD users shall not use additional measures for comparative purposes. The following inventory parameters, specified by the PCR, are also reported.

Resources	Unit	Waste and Outflows	Unit
<b>RPR</b> <sub>E</sub> : Renewable primary resources used as energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, LHV	HWD: Hazardous waste disposed	kg
<b>RPR<sub>M</sub>:</b> Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, LHV	NHWD: Non-hazardous waste disposed	kg
<b>NRPR</b> <sub>E</sub> : Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, LHV	<b>RWD:</b> Radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository	kg
<b>NRPR<sub>M</sub>:</b> Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, LHV	CRU: Components for re-use	kg
SM: Secondary materials	kg	MR: Materials for recycling	kg
RSF: Renewable secondary fuels	MJ, LHV	MER: Materials for energy recovery	kg
NRSF: Non-renewable secondary fuels	MJ, LHV	EE: Recovered energy exported from the product system	kg
RE: Recovered energy	MJ, LHV	<b>EE:</b> Recovered energy exported from the product system	MJ, LHV
FW: Use of new freshwater resources	m <sup>3</sup>		

## Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF – Average Sensor Faucet Results

Table 19. Impact indicator results for Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucets.

CML Impact	GWP	ODP	A	۱P	E	Р	POCP		ADPE	ADPF
Method	kg CO₂ eq	kg CFC-11 eq	kg S(	O2 eq	kg PO₄³- eq		kg C₂H₄ eq		kg Sb eq	MJ
A1	42.1	2.76x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.4	447	0.259		0.017		0.017	460
A2	0.201	2.56x10 <sup>-9</sup>	0.0	003	3.77x10-4		8.57x10	-5	3.97x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.60
A3	0.653	2.16x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.0	002	0.001		2.24x10 <sup>-4</sup>		1.90x10 <sup>-6</sup>	7.47
A1-A3 Total:	43.0	2.78x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.4	452	0.261		0.017		0.017	470
A4	1.82	2.41x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.0	009	0.0	02	3.48x10	-4	5.62x10 <sup>-6</sup>	25.4
A5	0.020	1.48x10 <sup>-10</sup>	3.48x10 <sup>-5</sup>		1.44>	x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.63x10	-6	2.89x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.135
B2	6.67	1.02x10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.0	024	0.0	80	0.002		4.30x10 <sup>-5</sup>	150
B3	0.003	5.17x10 <sup>-11</sup>	1.29	)x10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.12>	x10 <sup>-6</sup>	6.76x10	-7	5.14x10 <sup>-9</sup>	0.054
B4	292	1.82x10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.	.99	1.7	71	0.116		0.110	3220
C2	0.023	3.10x10 <sup>-10</sup>	7.58	3x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.95>	x10 <sup>-5</sup>	×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3.67×10 <sup>-6</sup>		7.56x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.328
C4	0.012	2.34x10 <sup>-10</sup>	5.83	8x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.41x10 <sup>-5</sup> 3.0		3.06x10	0 <sup>-6</sup> 2.32x10 <sup>-8</sup>		0.243
TRACI Impact	GWP	ODP	AP		AP		EP SFP			
	GVVP	UDP		AP			EP		SFP	FFD
Method	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	kg CFC-11 e	eq	AP kg SO <sub>2</sub>	eq	kg	EP N eq	k	SFP g O₃ eq	FFD MJ Surplus
								k		
Method	kg CO₂ eq	kg CFC-11 e		kg SO₂	9	0	N eq	k	tg O₃ eq	MJ Surplus
Method A1	<b>kg CO₂ eq</b> 41.8	kg CFC-11 e 2.98x10 <sup>-6</sup>		kg SO₂ 0.429	9 3	0 2.3	<b>N eq</b> .569	k	s <b>g O₃ eq</b> 3.77	MJ Surplus 37.1
Method A1 A2	kg CO₂ eq 41.8 0.200	<b>kg CFC-11 e</b> 2.98x10 <sup>-6</sup> 3.37x10 <sup>-9</sup>		kg SO₂ 0.429 0.003	9 3 3	0 2.3 0	N eq .569 0x10 <sup>-4</sup>	k	<b>cg O₃ eq</b> 3.77 0.062	<b>MJ Surplus</b> 37.1 0.376
Method A1 A2 A3	kg CO₂ eq 41.8 0.200 0.640	kg CFC-11 e           2.98x10 <sup>-6</sup> 3.37x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.77x10 <sup>-8</sup>		kg SO₂ 0.429 0.003 0.003	9 3 3 5	0 2.3 0 <b>0</b>	N eq .569 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .003	k	ag <b>O</b> <sub>3</sub> eq 3.77 0.062 0.042	MJ Surplus 37.1 0.376 0.879
Method           A1           A2           A3           A1-A3 Total:	kg CO2 eq           41.8           0.200           0.640           42.7	kg CFC-11 e 2.98x10 <sup>-6</sup> 3.37x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.77x10 <sup>-8</sup> 3.02x10 <sup>-6</sup>		kg SO₂ 0.429 0.003 0.003 0.003	9 3 3 5 0	0 2.3 0 0 0	N eq .569 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .003 <b>.572</b>	k	ag O <sub>3</sub> eq 3.77 0.062 0.042 <b>3.88</b>	MJ Surplus 37.1 0.376 0.879 <b>38.3</b>
Method           A1           A2           A3           A1-A3 Total:           A4	kg CO₂ eq 41.8 0.200 0.640 42.7 1.81	kg CFC-11 e           2.98x10 <sup>-6</sup> 3.37x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.77x10 <sup>8</sup> 3.02x10 <sup>-6</sup> 3.17x10 <sup>8</sup>		kg SO₂ 0.429 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.435 0.010	9 3 3 3 5 5 0 0 <sup>-5</sup>	0 2.3 0 0 0 2.3	N eq .569 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .003 <b>.572</b> .002	k	g O₃ eq 3.77 0.062 0.042 <b>3.88</b> 0.228	MJ Surplus 37.1 0.376 0.879 <b>38.3</b> 3.62
Method           A1           A2           A3           A1-A3 Total:           A4           A5	kg CO₂ eq 41.8 0.200 0.640 42.7 1.81 0.020	kg CFC-11 e           2.98x10 <sup>-6</sup> 3.37x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.77x10 <sup>-8</sup> 3.02x10 <sup>-6</sup> 3.17x10 <sup>-8</sup> 1.89x10 <sup>-10</sup>		kg SO <sub>2</sub> 0.429 0.003 0.003 0.435 0.010 4.22x10	9 3 3 3 5 5 0 0 <sup>-5</sup> 5	0 2.3 0 0 0 2.3 0	N eq .569 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .003 .572 .002 6x10 <sup>-5</sup>		g O₃ eq 3.77 0.062 0.042 3.88 0.228 0.001	MJ Surplus 37.1 0.376 0.879 <b>38.3</b> 3.62 0.019
Method           A1           A2           A3           A1-A3 Total:           A4           A5           B2	kg CO₂ eq 41.8 0.200 0.640 42.7 1.81 0.020 6.65	kg CFC-11 e           2.98x10 <sup>-6</sup> 3.37x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.77x10 <sup>-8</sup> 3.02x10 <sup>-6</sup> 3.17x10 <sup>-8</sup> 1.89x10 <sup>-10</sup> 1.22x10 <sup>-7</sup>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	kg SO <sub>2</sub> 0.429 0.003 0.003 0.435 0.010 4.22×11 0.026	9 3 3 5 5 0 0 <sup>-5</sup> 6 0 <sup>-5</sup>	0 2.3 0 0 0 2.3 0 3.0	N eq .569 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .003 .572 .002 6x10 <sup>-5</sup> .034		<b>g O₃ eq</b> 3.77 0.062 0.042 <b>3.88</b> 0.228 0.001 0.352	MJ Surplus 37.1 0.376 0.879 <b>38.3</b> 3.62 0.019 19.8
Method           A1           A2           A3           A1-A3 Total:           A4           A5           B2           B3	kg CO₂ eq 41.8 0.200 0.640 42.7 1.81 0.020 6.65 0.003	kg CFC-11 e           2.98x10.6           3.37x10.9           3.77x10.8           3.02x10.6           3.17x10.8           1.89x10.10           1.22x10.7           6.87x10.11		kg SO <sub>2</sub> 0.429 0.003 0.003 0.439 0.010 4.22×11 0.026 1.56×11	9 3 3 5 0 0 <sup>-5</sup> 5 0 <sup>-5</sup>	0 2.3 0 0 0 2.3 0 3.0	N eq .569 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .003 .572 .002 6x10 <sup>-5</sup> .034 2x10 <sup>-6</sup>		<b>g O₃ eq</b> 3.77 0.062 0.042 <b>3.88</b> 0.228 0.001 0.352 .16x10 <sup>-4</sup>	MJ Surplus 37.1 0.376 0.879 <b>38.3</b> 3.62 0.019 19.8 0.008

 Table 20. Additional Resource Use and Waste indicators for the Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucets.

Resource	RPRE	RPRм	NRPRE	NRPR <sub>M</sub>	SM	RSF	NRSF	RE	FW
Use	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	kg	MJ	MJ	MJ	m <sup>3</sup>
A1	71.4	0.00	542	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.462
A2	0.030	0.00	2.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.65x10 <sup>-4</sup>
A3	10.3	0.00	10.7	0.00	0.413	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.015
A1-A3 Total:	81.8	0.00	555	0.00	0.413	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.478
A4	0.319	0.00	25.7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.003
A5	0.002	0.00	0.137	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.36x10 <sup>-5</sup>
B2	5.05	0.00	158	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.338
B3	5.91x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.00	0.054	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.62x10 <sup>-5</sup>
B4	534	0.00	3780	0.00	2.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.13
C2	0.004	0.00	0.332	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.19x10 <sup>-5</sup>
C4	0.003	0.00	0.246	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.09x10 <sup>-4</sup>
Waste &	HWD	NHV	VD HL	RW/ILLRW	CRU	MR		MER	EE
Output	kg	kį	S	kg	kg	kg		kg	MJ, LHV
A1	0.00	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
A2	0.00	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
A3	3.70x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.2	58	0.00	0.00	0.099		0.00	0.00
A1-A3 Total:	3.70x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.2	-0	0.00	0.00	0.099		0.00	0.00
		0.2.	58	0.00	0.00	0.099			
A4	0.00	0.2		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
A4 A5	0.00		0						
		0.0	0 11	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
A5	0.00	0.0	0 1 1 0	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.307		0.00	0.00 0.00
A5 B2	0.00 0.00	0.0 0.1 0.0	0 11 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.307 0.00		0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00
A5 B2 B3	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0	0 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.307 0.00 0.00		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

## Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF 150 Sensor Faucet Results

Table 21. Impact indicator results for Sloan Optima® EAF 150 Sensor Faucets.

CML Impact	GWP	ODP	AP	El	Р	POCP	ADPE	ADPF
Method	kg CO₂ eq	kg CFC-11 eq	kg SO₂ eq	kg PO	₄ <sup>3-</sup> eq	kg C₂H₄ e	q kg Sb eq	MJ
A1	148	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.815	0.6	93	0.032	0.051	1610
A2	0.169	2.14x10 <sup>-9</sup>	0.002	3.00>	×10-4	6.56x10-	<sup>5</sup> 3.60x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.19
A3	0.493	1.91x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.001	0.0	01	1.69x10-	<sup>4</sup> 1.72x10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.82
A1-A3 Total:	149	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.818	0.6	95	0.032	0.051	1620
A4	1.86	2.45x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.009	0.0	02	3.54x10-	<sup>4</sup> 5.71x10 <sup>-6</sup>	25.8
A5	0.018	1.34x10 <sup>-10</sup>	3.14x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.29>	×10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.47x10-	<sup>5</sup> 2.62x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.122
B2	6.67	1.02x10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.024	0.0	08	0.002	4.30x10 <sup>-5</sup>	150
B3	0.006	1.28x10 <sup>-10</sup>	3.20x10 <sup>-5</sup>	7.73>	×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.68x10 <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>7</sup> 1.27x10 <sup>-9</sup>	0.133
B4	981	7.39x10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.38	4.5	53	0.210	0.331	10,700
C2	0.025	3.26x10 <sup>-10</sup>	7.99x10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.05>	×10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.87x10-	<sup>5</sup> 7.97x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.346
C4	0.012	2.47x10 <sup>-10</sup>	6.14x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.49>	×10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.22x10-	<sup>5</sup> 2.45x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.256
TRACI Impact	GWP	ODP	AF			EP	SFP	FFD
Method	kg CO₂ eq	kg CFC-11 e	eq kg SO	na .	ka	N eq	ka Or oa	MI Curplus
			-9	209	rg	NCY	kg O₃ eq	MJ Surplus
A1	147	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup>				1.52	11.0	125
A1 A2			0.84	18	1			
	147	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.84	18 )2	1 2.0	1.52	11.0	125
A2	147 0.168	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup> 2.83x10 <sup>-9</sup>	0.84 0.00 0.00	18 )2 )2	1 2.0 0	1.52 0x10 <sup>-4</sup>	11.0 0.048	125 0.313
A2 A3	147 0.168 0.483	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup> 2.83x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.36x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.84 0.00 0.00 <b>0.85</b>	48 02 02 <b>33</b>	1 2.0 0 1	1.52 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .002	11.0 0.048 0.031	125 0.313 0.760
A2 A3 A1-A3 Total:	147 0.168 0.483 <b>148</b>	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup> 2.83x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.36x10 <sup>-8</sup> <b>1.20x10<sup>-5</sup></b>	0.84 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.85 0.01	48 02 02 <b>53</b> 0	1 2.0 0 1 0	1.52 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .002	11.0 0.048 0.031 <b>11.1</b>	125 0.313 0.760 <b>126</b>
A2 A3 A1-A3 Total: A4	147 0.168 0.483 <b>148</b> 1.84	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup> 2.83x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.36x10 <sup>-8</sup> <b>1.20x10<sup>-5</sup></b> 3.23x10 <sup>-8</sup>	0.84 0.00 0.00 0.85 0.01 0 3.81x	48 02 02 <b>53</b> 0 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 2.0 0 1 0 2.1	1.52 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .002 1 <b>.52</b> .002	11.0 0.048 0.031 <b>11.1</b> 0.232	125 0.313 0.760 <b>126</b> 3.68
A2 A3 A1-A3 Total: A4 A5	147 0.168 0.483 <b>148</b> 1.84 0.018	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup> 2.83x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.36x10 <sup>-8</sup> <b>1.20x10<sup>-5</sup></b> 3.23x10 <sup>-8</sup> 1.71x10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.84 0.00 0.00 0.85 0.01 0 3.81× 0.02	48 02 02 6 <b>3</b> 0 10 <sup>-5</sup>	1 2.0 0 1 0 2.1 0	1.52 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .002 1 <b>.52</b> .002 2x10 <sup>-5</sup>	11.0 0.048 0.031 <b>11.1</b> 0.232 0.001	125 0.313 0.760 <b>126</b> 3.68 0.017
A2 A3 <b>A1-A3 Total:</b> A4 A5 B2	147 0.168 0.483 <b>148</b> 1.84 0.018 6.65	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup> 2.83x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.36x10 <sup>-8</sup> <b>1.20x10<sup>-5</sup></b> 3.23x10 <sup>-8</sup> 1.71x10 <sup>-10</sup> 1.22x10 <sup>-7</sup>	0.84 0.00 0.00 0.85 0.01 0 3.81× 0.02 0 3.88×	48 02 02 6 10 <sup>-5</sup> 26 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.0 0 1 0 2.1 0 7.4	1.52 0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .002 <b>1.52</b> .002 2x10 <sup>-5</sup> .034	11.0 0.048 0.031 <b>11.1</b> 0.232 0.001 0.352	125 0.313 0.760 <b>126</b> 3.68 0.017 19.8
A2 A3 <b>A1-A3 Total:</b> A4 A5 B2 B3	147 0.168 0.483 <b>148</b> 1.84 0.018 6.65 0.006	1.12x10 <sup>-5</sup> 2.83x10 <sup>-9</sup> 3.36x10 <sup>-8</sup> <b>1.20x10<sup>-5</sup></b> 3.23x10 <sup>8</sup> 1.71x10 <sup>-10</sup> 1.22x10 <sup>-7</sup> 1.70x10 <sup>-10</sup>	0.84 0.00 0.00 0.85 0.01 0 3.81x 0.02 0 3.88x 5.6	48 02 02 <b>53</b> 0 10 <sup>-5</sup> 26 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.0 0 1 0 2.1 0 7.4	1.52       0x10 <sup>-4</sup> .002 <b>1.52</b> .002       2x10 <sup>-5</sup> .034       9x10 <sup>-6</sup>	11.0 0.048 0.031 <b>11.1</b> 0.232 0.001 0.352 0.001	125 0.313 0.760 <b>126</b> 3.68 0.017 19.8 0.019

Table 22. Additional Resource Use and Waste indicators for the Sloan Optima® EAF 150 Sensor Faucets.

Resource	RPRE	<b>RPR</b> <sub>M</sub>	NRPRE	NRPR <sub>M</sub>	SM	RSF	NRSF	RE	FW
Use	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	kg	MJ	MJ	MJ	m <sup>3</sup>
A1	221	0.00	1904	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.24
A2	0.031	0.00	2.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.55x10-4
A3	9.68	0.00	9.15	0.00	0.376	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.015
A1-A3 Total:	231	0.00	1920	0.00	0.376	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.25
A4	0.324	0.00	26.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.003
A5	0.002	0.00	0.124	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80x10 <sup>-5</sup>
B2	5.05	0.00	158	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.338
B3	0.001	0.00	0.135	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.14x10 <sup>-4</sup>
B4	1,500	0.00	12,600	0.00	2.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.16
C2	0.004	0.00	0.350	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.42x10 <sup>-5</sup>
C4	0.003	0.00	0.259	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.20x10 <sup>-4</sup>
Waste &	HWD	NHV	VD HL	RW/ILLRW	CRU	MR		MER	EE
Output	kg	kg	Ţ.	kg	kg	kg		kg	MJ, LHV
A1	0.00	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
A2	0.00	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
A3	3.99x10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.27	72	0.00	0.00	0.104		0.00	0.00
A1-A3 Total:	3.70x10⁻ <sup>6</sup>	0.27	72	0.00	0.00	0.104		0.00	0.00
A4	0.00	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
A5	0.00	0.09	98	0.00	0.00	0.281		0.00	0.00
B2	0.00	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
B3	0.00	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
	0.00	8.4	4	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
B4	0.00								
B4 C2	0.00	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00

## Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucet Results for Operational Energy and Water Use Modules (B6 and B7)

 Table 23. Impact indicator results for Sloan Optima® EAF Sensor Faucets Module B6 per ESL.

CML Impact Method		Operational Energy Use						
		0.35 gpm	0.5 gpm	1.0 gpm	1.5 gpm	2.2 gpm		
GWP	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	6,450	9,210	18,400	27,600	40,500		
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	4.59x10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.56x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.31x10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.97x10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.88x10 <sup>-4</sup>		
AP	kg SO2 eq	13.3	18.9	37.9	56.8	83.3		
EP	kg PO₄³- eq	10.4	14.9	29.8	44.8	65.6		
POCP	kg C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> eq	0.727	1.04	2.08	3.12	4.57		
ADPE	kg Sb eq	0.039	0.056	0.111	0.167	0.244		
ADPF	MJ	84,200	120,000	241,000	361,000	529,000		
TRACI Impa	act Method	Operational Energy Use						
		0.35 gpm	0.5 gpm	1.0 gpm	1.5 gpm	2.2 gpm		
GWP	kg CO₂ eq	6,400	9,140	18,300	27,400	40,200		
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	8.26x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.18x10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.36x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.54x10 <sup>-4</sup>	5.19x10 <sup>-4</sup>		
AP	kg SO2 eq	13.4	19.2	38.4	57.6	84.4		
EP	kg N eq	22.9	32.7	65.4	98.1	144		
SFP	kg O₃ eq	159	227	454	681	999		
FFD	MJ Surplus	10,400	14,900	29,800	44,700	65,500		

Resource Use		Operational Energy Use					
		0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	
RPRE	MJ, LHV	10,000	14,300	28,600	42,800	62,800	
RPRM	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
NRPRE	MJ, LHV	111,000	159,000	318,000	478,000	701,000	
NRPRM	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
SM	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
RSF	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
NRSF	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
RE	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
FW	m <sup>3</sup>	33.7	48.1	96.3	144	212	
Waste & Outpu	t	Operational Energy Use					
		0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	
HWD	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
NHWD	Kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
HLRW/ILLRW	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
CRU	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
MR	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
MER	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
EE	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

CML Impact Method		Operational Water Use						
		0.35 gpm	0.5 gpm	1.0 gpm	1.5 gpm	2.2 gpm		
GWP	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	716	1,020	2,050	3,070	4,500		
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	1.70x10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.43x10 <sup>-4</sup>	4.87x10 <sup>-4</sup>	7.30x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.001		
AP	kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq	3.10	4.42	8.85	13.3	19.5		
EP	kg PO4 <sup>3-</sup> eq	1.12	1.61	3.21	4.82	7.06		
POCP	kg C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> eq	0.162	0.232	0.464	0.696	1.02		
ADPE	kg Sb eq	0.003	0.005	0.009	0.014	0.021		
ADPF	MJ	7,600	10,900	21,700	32,600	47,800		
TRACI Impa	ct Method	Operational Water Use						
		0.35 gpm	0.5 gpm	1.0 gpm	1.5 gpm	2.2 gpm		
GWP	kg CO2 eq	709	1,010	2,020	3,040	4,450		
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	1.74x10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.49x10 <sup>-4</sup>	4.97x10 <sup>-4</sup>	7.46x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.001		
AP	kg SO2 eq	3.21	4.59	9.18	13.8	20.2		
EP	kg N eq	2.20	3.14	6.28	9.41	13.8		
SFP	kg O₃ eq	44.6	63.7	127	191	280		
FFD	MJ Surplus	558	797	1,590	2,390	3,500		

## Table 25. Impact indicator results for Sloan Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF Sensor Faucets Module B7 per ESL.

 Table 26. Additional Resource Use and Waste indicators for the Sloan Optima® EAF Sensor Faucets Module B7 per ESL.


Resource Use		Operational Water Use						
		0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm		
RPRE	MJ, LHV	824	1,180	2,350	3,530	5,180		
RPRM	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
NRPRE	MJ, LHV	8,630	12,300	24,700	37.000	54,300		
NRPRM	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
SM	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
RSF	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
NRSF	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
RE	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
FW	m <sup>3</sup>	554	791	1,580	2,370	3,480		
Waste & Outpu	it	Operational Water Use						
		0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm	0.35 gpm		
HWD	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
NHWD	Kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
HLRW/ILLRW	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
CRU	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
MR	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
MER	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
EE	MJ, LHV	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

# 6. LCA: INTERPRETATION

The interpretation phase conforms to ISO 14044. The interpretation included the use of evaluation and sensitivity checks to steer the iterative process during the assessment, and a final evaluation including completeness, sensitivity, and consistency checks, at the end of the study.

The contributions to total impact indicator results are dominated by the use phase impacts, specifically, the operational energy and water use modules (B6 and B7) with as much as 90% of the overall impacts and secondly by the use phase replacement module (B4). When examining the results without the operation use phase impacts and without the replacement module impacts, the results are dominated by the raw material module (A1) with the product maintenance module (B2) also showing significant impacts.





# 7. ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

Sloan is a proud member of the United States Green Building Council (USGBC) and through the use of Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System, Sloan recognizes and validates the importance of best-on-class building strategies and practices of high performing green buildings. Sloan's Optima<sup>®</sup> EAF faucets within this EPD can be used to help achieve water efficiency goals as well as gaining USGBC LEED v4 points and complying with building codes.

No environmental or health impacts are expected due to extraordinary effects including fire and/or water damage and product destruction.

For more information on Sloan's certifications and environmental initiatives please visit the website at www.sloan.com.

## 8. REFERENCES

- 1. CML-IA Characterization Factors. Leiden University, Institute of Environmental Sciences. April 2013. https://www.universiteitleiden.nl/en/research/research-output/science/cml-ia-characterisation-factors
- 2. Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and Other Environmental Impacts (TRACI). U.S. EPA.
- 3. Ecoinvent v3.9.1 2022. Swiss Center for Life Cycle Inventories, 2010. http://www.ecoinvent.org
- 4. ISO 14025:2006 Environmental labels and declarations Type III environmental declarations Principles and Procedures.
- 5. ISO 14040: 2006/Amd 1:2020 Environmental Management Life cycle assessment Principles and Framework
- 6. ISO 14044: 2006/Amd 1:2017/ Amd 2:2020 Environmental Management Life cycle assessment Requirements and Guidelines.
- 7. ISO 21930: 2017 Sustainability in building construction Environmental declaration of building products.
- 8. Life Cycle Assessment of Sensor Faucets. SCS Global Services Final Report. Prepared for Sloan Valve Company. July 2023.
- 9. SCS Type III Environmental Declaration Program: Program Operator Manual. V12.0 December 2023. SCS Global Services.
- 10. UL. PCR Guidance for Building-Related Products and Services Part A: Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules and Report Requirements. Version 3.2. December 2018.
- 11. UL PCR Guidance for Building-Related Products and Services Part B: Kitchen and Bath Fixture Fittings and Accessory Products EPD Requirements. Version 1.0. October 2020.

For more information please contact



#### **Sloan Valve Company**

10500 Seymour Avenue Franklin Park, IL 60131 customer.service@sloan.com | 800-982-5839 https://www.sloan.com



SCS Global Services 2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 USA Main +1.510.452.8000 | fax +1.510.452.8001

© 2023 SCSglobalServices.com